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## Consider the following MAUDE program...

## Example

mod BASIC-NAT is

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r l & \text { plus } & 0 \\
r & Y & Y \\
r l & \text { plus } & s(X) \\
r & \Rightarrow \text { times } 0 & Y \Rightarrow 0 \\
r l & \\
\text { crl times } & (X) Y \Rightarrow \text { plus } Z Y \text { if times } X Y \Rightarrow Z
\end{array}
$$ endm

... which can be seen as a Conditional TRS...

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
\text { plus }(\mathrm{s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \\
\operatorname{times}(0, y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}(\mathrm{~s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y) \quad \Leftarrow \operatorname{times}(x, y) \approx z
\end{aligned}
$$

...which can be seen as a Conditional TRS...
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Goal: Prove upper bound on worst case complexity
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## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\text { plus }\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow y
$$

$$
\operatorname{plus}\left(\mathrm{s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y, \top, \top))
$$

$$
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

$\boldsymbol{t i m e s}\left(\mathrm{s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right)$
$\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y, \top, \top)$

## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\operatorname{plus}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow y
$$

$$
\operatorname{plus}\left(\mathrm{s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y, \top, \top))
$$

$$
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}\left(\mathrm{s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right)$
$\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y, \top, \top)$

Let's analyze it using leading tools!

## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\text { plus }\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow y
$$

$$
\operatorname{plus}\left(\mathrm{s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y, \top, \top))
$$

$$
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}\left(\mathrm{s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right)$
$\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y, \top, \top)$

Let's analyze it using leading tools!

- TcT: timeout (60 s)


## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{plus}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow y \\
\operatorname{plus}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y, \top, \top)) \\
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right) \\
\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y, \top, \top)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's analyze it using leading tools!

- TcT: timeout (60 s)
- AProVE: full rewriting not supported


## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{plus}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow y \\
\operatorname{plus}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y, \top, \top)) \\
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right) \\
\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y, \top, \top)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's analyze it using leading tools!

- TcT: timeout (60 s)
- AProVE: full rewriting not supported

But: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ for innermost rewriting - can we exploit that?

## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{plus}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow y \\
\operatorname{plus}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y, \top, \top)) \\
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right) \\
\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y, \top, \top)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's analyze it using leading tools!

- TcT: timeout (60 s)
- AProVE: full rewriting not supported

But: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ for innermost rewriting - can we exploit that?

## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
\operatorname{plus}(\mathrm{~s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\boldsymbol{p l u s}(x, y)) \\
\operatorname{times}\left(0, y, \top, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \top\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, \boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(x, y, \top, \top)\right) \\
\operatorname{times}_{2}^{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}(x), y, x_{1}, z\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(z, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's analyze it using leading tools!

- TcT: timeout (60 s)
- AProVE: full rewriting not supported

But: $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$ for innermost rewriting - can we exploit that?

## ...which can be transformed to a standard TRS.

Transformation by Cynthia Kop, Aart Middeldorp, and Thomas Sternagel
"Complexity of Conditional Term Rewriting", LMCS '17

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

```
            plus( \(0, y\) ) \(\rightarrow y\)
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## Theorem (Pol et. al, RTA '05)

NDG rewriting is at least as efficient as innermost rewriting.

## Reminder: rc

rc maps $n$ to the length of the longest rewrite sequence s.t.
(A) size of start term bounded by $n$
(B) start term basic
all sequences ndg $\Longrightarrow$ innermost is the worst case all sequences starting with basic terms ndg $\Longrightarrow \mathrm{rc}=\mathrm{irc}$
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## Proving ndg-ness automatically

## Representing sets of contexts

$C$ matches $D$ if

- $C[x] \sigma=D$
- $\square$ in $D$ below $\square$ in $C$


## Overlapping contexts

$C$ and $D$ overlap if both match some $E$

## Example

- plus( $\square, y)$ matches plus(s( $\square), y)$
- Intuition: plus $(\square, y)$ represents "marked" terms plus(times $(x, z), y)$, plus(s(times $(0,0)), 0), \ldots$

Goal: compute sets of contexts Dup and Def Dup and Def don't overlap $\curvearrowright \mathrm{rc}=$ irc

## The easy one: Computing Dup

## Algorithm
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## Example

 plus( $0, y$ ) $\rightarrow y$plus(s $(x), y) \rightarrow \mathbf{s}($ plus $(x, y))$ $\operatorname{times}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
times(s $(x), y) \rightarrow$ plus $(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y)$

## The easy one: Computing Dup

## Algorithm

- collect left-hand sides of rules with non-linear right-hand sides


## Example

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

plus $(0, y) \rightarrow y$
plus(s $(x), y) \rightarrow \mathrm{s}($ plus $(x, y))$
times $(0, y) \rightarrow 0$
times(s $(x), y) \rightarrow$ plus $(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y)$

## The easy one: Computing Dup

## Algorithm

- collect left-hand sides of rules with non-linear right-hand sides


## Example

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { plus }(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
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## Example

 plus $(0, y) \rightarrow y$ plus(s $(x), y) \rightarrow \mathrm{s}($ plus $(x, y))$ times $(0, y) \rightarrow 0$ times(s $(x), y) \rightarrow$ plus $(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y)$
## The easy one: Computing Dup

## Algorithm

- collect left-hand sides of rules with non-linear right-hand sides
- replace occurrences of duplicated variables in left-hand sides with $\square$

Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

```
Example
Dup = {times(s(x),\square)}
```

plus $(0, y) \rightarrow y$
plus(s $(x), y) \rightarrow \mathrm{s}($ plus $(x, y))$ $\operatorname{times}(0, y) \rightarrow 0$ $\operatorname{times}(\mathrm{s}(x), y) \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y)$

## The easy one: Computing Dup

## Reminder

Dup and Def don't overlap

## Algorithm

- collect left-har
- replace occurrt
$\curvearrowright$ no duplication of defined symbols rc = irc irc techniques applicable for rc


## Example

Dup $=\{\boldsymbol{t i m e s}(\mathrm{s}(x), \square)\}$


```
    plus(s(x),y) }->\mathrm{ s(plus(x,y))
    times(0,y) -> 0
times(s(x),y) }->\mathrm{ plus(times(x,y),y)
```
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## Example

Def $=\{\lfloor\boldsymbol{p l u s}(\square, y)\rfloor\}$
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## Example

- $\operatorname{Def}=\{\boldsymbol{p l u s}(\square, y), \operatorname{plus}(\square, y)\}$
- $\ell[\square]=\operatorname{plus}(\mathrm{s}(\square), y)$


## The hard one: Computing Def

Idea: capture that nested defined symbols may be matched by variables

## Fixed Point Step

- pick a rule $\ell \rightarrow r$
- replace some $x$ in $\ell$ with $\square$
- if $\ell[\square]$ overlaps with $D \in \operatorname{Def}$
- pick a subterm $\mathbf{f}(\ldots x \ldots)$ of $r$
- add $\lfloor\mathbf{f}(\ldots \square \ldots)\rfloor$ to Def
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- Def $=\{\boldsymbol{p l u s}(\square, y)\}$
- $\ell[\square]=\operatorname{plus}(\mathrm{s}(\square), y)$


## The hard one: Computing Def

Idea: capture that nested defined symbols may be matched by variables

## Fixed Point Step

- pick a rule $\ell \rightarrow r$
- replace some $x$ in $\ell$ with $\square$
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## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { plus }(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
\text { plus }(\mathrm{s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \\
\operatorname{times}(0, y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}(\mathrm{~s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y)
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## Example

- Def $=\{\boldsymbol{p l u s}(\square, y)\}$
- $\ell[\square]=\operatorname{plus}(\mathrm{s}(\square), y)$

Dup $=\{\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t i m e s }}(\mathrm{s}(x), \square)\}$ and $\operatorname{Def}=\{\boldsymbol{p l u s}(\square, y)\}$ don't overlap $\curvearrowright \mathrm{rc}=\mathrm{irc}!$
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\end{aligned}
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## Handling Non-Constructor Systems

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{plus}(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
\operatorname{plus}(\mathrm{~s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \\
\operatorname{times}(0, y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}(\mathrm{~s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y) \\
\operatorname{plus}(x, \operatorname{plus}(y, z)) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y), z)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Handling Non-Constructor Systems

## Leading Example $\mathcal{R}_{\text {times }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { plus }(0, y) & \rightarrow y \\
\text { plus }(\mathrm{s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \mathrm{s}(\operatorname{plus}(x, y)) \\
\operatorname{times}(0, y) & \rightarrow 0 \\
\operatorname{times}(\mathrm{~s}(x), y) & \rightarrow \operatorname{plus}(\operatorname{times}(x, y), y)
\end{aligned}
$$

- nested defined symbols only below plus's first argument plus( $x$, plus $(y, z))$ not reachable from basic terms!
- information which defined symbols can be nested often crucial
$\curvearrowright$ similar fixed point algorithm


## Experimental Results, Conclusion
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Experiments on the TPDB:
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